

Climate risk discussion

Ernst Rauch Chief Climate & Geo Scientist Global Head Climate & Public Sector Business Development





Table of contents:

- 1. Climate risk assessment: natural catastrophe losses, climate science
- 2. Climate risk measurement: policy, financial disclosure, measurement tools
- 3. Transitional risk transfer:
- green tech investment, renewables risk, mining rehabilitation risk

- 4. Physical risk transfer:
- Nat Cat schemes, cyclone, drought, resilience bonds, pandemic emergency financing



5. Next step discussions

Natural catastrophes and climate change >40 years of expertise at Munich Re





Munich Re's climate change strategy with focus on the following fields of activity



RISK ASSESSMENT & MEASUREMENT

Detecting and assessing climate change impacts on frequency and intensity of natural hazards Understanding climate related financial disclosure requirements and providing tools to measure physical & transitional risk

RISK TRANSFER SOLUTIONS

Providing risk transfer solutions for new technologies and PPP solutions for markets particularly affected by adverse effects of climate change

ASSET MANAGEMENT

Supporting the expansion of renewable energies and infrastructure projects with our sustainable investment strategy

Carbon neutrality of Munich Re

Munich: since 2009, reinsurance worldwide: since 2012, Munich Re (Group): since end 2015

New Coal Guidelines

Withdrawal from insurance of new coal power plants and coal mines; no investment in coal intensive shares and bonds

TCFD (Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures)

Participation in UNEP PSI Working Group on TCFD elaborating industry standards for disclosures

Source: Munich Re

1. Climate risk assessment:

natural catastrophe losses, climate science

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events even the unexpected ones.



Natural catastrophes loss events worldwide / Australia / New Zealand

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events even the unexpected ones.



NatCatSERVICE

NatCatSERVICE One of the world's largest databases on natural catastrophes



NatCatSERVICE

Natural catastrophe know-how for risk management and research

Nany deserve of accurate representation measurements provide and available of instant active myster theorem to the MallCatSHIVED mere of the most where the available memory in a material contract to several section de. The image and terms based on the providences, placely and provide along the memory descent and terms based on and the myster and provide and the memory descent and terms based on and the myster and the memory descent and terms based on and the myster and terms to the file of the section of terms based on and the memory descent terms to the file of the section of terms based on a section of the myster and terms to the file of the section of the sect

NOT IF, BUT I KNW

The Database

- Loss events from 1980 until today; for USA and selected countries in Europe: loss events since 1970
- Retrospectively, all great disasters since 1950
- In addition, ~2,600 major historical events starting from 79 AD with the eruption of Mt. Vesuvius
- Currently ca. 43,000 data sets

Online Analysis Tool





Natural catastrophes loss events worldwide 1980-2018 Development of overall and insured losses





Inflation adjusted via country-specific consumer price index and consideration of exchange rate fluctuations between local currency and US\$.

© 2019 Münchener Rückversicherungs-Gesellschaft, NatCatSERVICE – As at March 2019

Natural catastrophes loss events worldwide 1980-2018 Costliest events ordered by inflation adjusted overall losses



Date	Event	Affected Area	Overall losses (US\$m, in 2018 values)	Insured losses (US\$m, in 2018 values)	Fatalities
25 - 30 Aug 2005	Hurricane Katrina, storm surge	United States: LA, New Orleans, Slidell, MS, Biloxi, Pascagoula, Waveland, Gulfport, Bay St. Louis, Hattiesburg, McComb, AL, FL	157,000	75,900	1,720
11 Mar 2011	Earthquake, tsunami	Japan: Honshu, Miyagi, Sendai, Aomori, Tohoku, Fukushima, Mito, Ibaraki, Tochigi, Utsunomiya, Iwate, Morioka, Yamagata, Chiba, Tokyo	157,000	29,800	15,880
12 May 2008	Earthquake	China: Sichuan, Mianyang, Beichuan, Wenchuan, Shifang, Chengdu, Guangyuan, Ngawa, Ya'an, Ziyang, Meishan, Suining, Garzê, Neijiang, Gansu, Shaanxi, Chongqing, Yunnan, Maoxian	107,000	380	87,149
25 Aug - 1 Sep 2017	Hurricane Harvey, storm surge, flood	United States: TX, Harris County, Houston, Rockport, Refugio, Corpus Christi, Galveston, Crosby, LA, Lake Charles, Evangeline, AL, LA, MS, NC, TN, Nashville, Davidson County	95,000	30,000	88
17 Jan 1995	Earthquake	Japan: Hyogo, Kobe, Osaka, Kyoto	86,800	2,600	6,430
23 - 31 Oct 2012	Hurricane Sandy, storm surge	United States, Cuba, Haiti, Bahamas, Canada, Jamaica, Dominican Republic, Puerto Rico	73,100	31,100	207
17 Jan 1994	Earthquake	United States: CA, Northridge, Los Angeles, San Fernando Valley, Ventura, Orange	72,800	25,300	61
19 - 22 Sep 2017	Hurricane Maria, flood	Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, U.S., Dominica, Guadeloupe, Dominican Republic, Martinique, Haiti	68,600	29,900	3,019
6 - 14 Sep 2017	Hurricane Irma, storm surge, flood	United States, Virgin Islands, U.S., Virgin Islands, British, Cuba, Saint Martin, Sint Maarten, Saint Barthelemy, Anguilla, Puerto Rico, Turks and Caicos Islands, Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Bonaire, Sint Eustatius, Saba, Dominican Republic, Haiti, Saint Kitts and Nevis	60,600	33,400	128
23 - 27 Aug 1992	Hurricane Andrew	United States, Bahamas	46,700	29,700	66

Natural catastrophes loss events in Australia 1980-2018 Development of overall and insured losses



Inflation adjusted via country-specific consumer price index and consideration of exchange rate fluctuations between local currency and US\$.

© 2019 Münchener Rückversicherungs-Gesellschaft, NatCatSERVICE – As at March 2019



Natural catastrophes loss events in Australia 1980-2018 Percentage distribution of overall and insured losses by event family





Accounted events have caused at least one fatality and/or produced normalised losses \geq US\$ 100k, 300k, 1m, or 3m (depending on the assigned World Bank income group of the affected country).

Inflation adjusted via country-specific consumer price index and consideration of exchange rate fluctuations between local currency and US\$.

© 2019 Münchener Rückversicherungs-Gesellschaft, NatCatSERVICE – As at March 2019

Natural catastrophes loss events in Australia 1980-2018 Costliest events ordered by inflation adjusted overall losses



Date	Event	Affected Area	Overall losses (US\$m, in 2018 values)	Insured losses (US\$m, in 2018 values)	Fatalities
Sep - Dec 1982	Drought	Australia: Victoria, New South Wales, Broken Hill, Queensland, South Australia	7,800	130	
1 Mar 2002 - 31 Jan 2003	Drought	Australia: almost entire country	4,300		
Jan - Jun 1983	Drought	Australia: South Australia, Victoria, New South Wales, Broken Hill, Queensland,	4,000		
3 Dec 2010 - 20 Jan 2011	Flood	Australia: Queensland, Rockhampton, Cairns, Innisfail, Theodore, Chinchilla, Dalby, Moura, Mundubbera, Jericho, Alpha, Emerald, Bundaberg, Burnett, Woorabinda, Warra, Wowan, Pittsworth, Condamine, Burketown, Bajool, Logan, Mackay, Baralaba, Dysart, Warrick, Gympie, Withcott, Heldion, Gatton, Murphys Creek, Stanthorpe, Ingham, Lowood, Withcott, Goondiwindi, New South Wales, Boggabilla, Toomelah, Grafton, Tenterfield	3,600	510	13
14 Apr 1999	Hailstorm	Australia: Wollongong, Bundeena, Sydney, Cronulla, Sutherland, Surry Hills, Double Bay, Albion Park, Randwick, Kensigton, Paddington	2,900	2,100	1
27 Mar - 6 Apr 2017	Cyclone Debbie, flood	Australia: Queensland, Proserpine, Bowen, Whitsunday Islands, Mackay, Airlie Beach, Sarina, New South Wales, Tweed, Lismore, Byron, Richmond Valley, Kyogle, Ballina, Murwillumbah	2,700	1,400	12
10 - 14 Jan 2011	Flood, flash flood	Australia: Queensland, Brisbane, Ipswich, Toowoomba, Grantham, Gladstone	2,700	1,600	22
28 Dec 1989	Earthquake	Australia: New South Wales, Newcastle, Sydney	2,400	1,300	13
2 - 7 Feb 2011	Cyclone Yasi	Australia: Queensland, Tully, Townsville, Mission Beach, Cardwell, Giru, Ingham, Innisfail, Cassowary Coast Shire, Cairns, Bedarra and Dunk islands	2,300	1,200	1
21 - 31 Jan 2013	Flood, flash flood (Ex-Tropical Storm Oswald)	Australia: Queensland, Kowanyama, Pormpuraaw, Bundaberg, Brisbane, Gympie, Northern New South Wales, Grafton	2,000	1,000	6

Meteorological* and hydrological** loss events in Australia 1980-2018 Munich RE E Development of overall and insured losses



Inflation adjusted via country-specific consumer price index and consideration of exchange rate fluctuations between local currency and US\$.

© 2019 Münchener Rückversicherungs-Gesellschaft, NatCatSERVICE – As at March 2019



Meteorological and hydrological loss events in Australia 1980-2018 Costliest events ordered by inflation adjusted overall losses

Date	Event	Affected Area	Overall losses (US\$m, in 2018 values)	Insured losses (US\$m, in 2018 values)	Fatalities
3 Dec 2010 - 20 Jan 2011	Flood	Australia: Queensland, Rockhampton, Cairns, Innisfail, Theodore, Chinchilla, Dalby, Moura, Mundubbera, Jericho, Alpha, Emerald, Bundaberg, Burnett, Woorabinda, Warra, Wowan, Pittsworth, Condamine, Burketown, Bajool, Logan, Mackay, Baralaba, Dysart, Warrick, Gympie, Withcott, Heldion, Gatton, Murphys Creek, Stanthorpe, Ingham, Lowood, Withcott, Goondiwindi, New South Wales, Boggabilla, Toomelah, Grafton, Tenterfield	3,600	510	13
14 Apr 1999	Hailstorm	Australia: Wollongong, Bundeena, Sydney, Cronulla, Sutherland, Surry Hills, Double Bay, Albion Park, Randwick, Kensigton, Paddington	2,900	2,100	1
27 Mar - 6 Apr 2017	Cyclone Debbie, flood	Australia: Queensland, Proserpine, Bowen, Whitsunday Islands, Mackay, Airlie Beach, Sarina, New South Wales, Tweed, Lismore, Byron, Richmond Valley, Kyogle, Ballina, Murwillumbah	2,700	1,400	12
10 - 14 Jan 2011	Flood, flash flood	Australia: Queensland, Brisbane, Ipswich, Toowoomba, Grantham, Gladstone	2,700	1,600	22
2 - 7 Feb 2011	Cyclone Yasi	Australia: Queensland, Tully, Townsville, Mission Beach, Cardwell, Giru, Ingham, Innisfail, Cassowary Coast Shire, Cairns, Bedarra and Dunk islands	2,300	1,200	1
21 - 31 Jan 2013	Flood, flash flood (Ex-Tropical Storm Oswald)	Australia: Queensland, Kowanyama, Pormpuraaw, Bundaberg, Brisbane, Gympie, Northern New South Wales, Grafton	2,000	1,000	6
20 Mar 2006	Cyclone Larry	Australia: Queensland, Innisfail, Kurramine Beach, Mission Beach, Babinda, Cairns, Townsville, Mareeba, Atherton, Eacham, Cardwell, Silkwood, Mourilyan, Tully	1,700	590	
8 - 10 Jun 2007	Winter storm, flood	Australia: New South Wales, Newcastle, Hunter Valley, Maitland, Sydney	1,500	900	9
11 - 18 Feb 2008	Flood	Australia: Queensland, Mackay, Rockhampton, Mareeba, Townsville, Bowen, Burdekin, Burnett, Charters Towers, Dalrymple, Mirani, Miriam Vale, Nebo, Peak Downs, Thuringowa, Whitsunday Shire	1,400	1,000	2
19 - 24 Apr 2015	Winter storm, flash flood	Australia: New South Wales, Sydney, Dungog, Coalfields, Lake Macquarie, Port Stephens, Hunter Valley	1,400	790	7

Hailstorm loss events in Australia 1980-2018 Development of overall and insured losses





Inflation adjusted via country-specific consumer price index and consideration of exchange rate fluctuations between local currency and US\$.

© 2019 Münchener Rückversicherungs-Gesellschaft, NatCatSERVICE – As at March 2019



Hailstorm loss events in Australia 1980-2018 Costliest events ordered by inflation adjusted overall losses

Date	Event	Affected Area	Overall losses (US\$m, in 2018 values)	Insured losses (US\$m, in 2018 values)	Fatalities
14 Apr 1999	Hailstorm	Australia: Wollongong, Bundeena, Sydney, Cronulla, Sutherland, Surry Hills, Double Bay, Albion Park, Randwick, Kensigton, Paddington	2,900	2,100	1
22 Mar 2010	Hailstorm, severe storm	Australia: Western Australia, Perth	1,300	930	
27 Nov 2014	Hailstorm	Australia: Queensland, Brisbane	1,300	1,100	
6 - 7 Mar 2010	Hailstorm, severe storm	Australia: Victoria, Melbourne, Mangalore, Shepparton, Menzies Creek, Ferntree Gully, Knox	1,300	920	
9 Dec 2007	Hailstorm	Australia: New South Wales, Sydney, Blacktown, Kemps Creek, Illawarra, Penrith, Baulkham Hills, Hornsby	810	610	
20 Dec 2018	Hailstorm, severe storm	Australia: New South Wales, Sydney	800	610	
18 Jan 1985	Hailstorm	Australia: Queensland, Brisbane	780	400	
18 - 19 Mar 1990	Hailstorm, severe storm	Australia: New South Wales, Sydney	760	470	
17 - 19 Feb 2017	Hailstorm	Australia: New South Wales, Sydney, Illawarra	490	390	
25 Apr 2015	Hailstorm	Australia: New South Wales, Blue Mountains, Sydney	420	350	

NatCatSERVICE

Flood events in Australia 1980 – 2018 Overall and insured losses





Flood events in Australia 1980 – 2018



Costliest events ordered by overall losses in 2018 values

Date	Event	Affected area	Overall losses in US\$ m (in 2018 values)	Insured losses in US\$ m (in 2018 values)	Fatalities
3.12.2010- 20.1.2011	Flood	Queensland, Rockhampton, Cairns, Innisfail, Theodore, Chinchilla, Dalby, Moura, Mundubbera, Jericho, Alpha, Emerald, Bundaberg, Burnett, Woorabinda, Warra, Wowan, Pittsworth, Condamine, Burketown	3,600	510	13
10-14.1.2011	Flood, flash flood	Queensland, Brisbane, lpswich, Toowoomba, Grantham, Gladstone	2,700	1,600	22
21-31.1.2013	Flood (Ex-Tropical Storm Oswald)	Queensland, Kowanyama, Pormpuraaw, Bundaberg, Brisbane, Gympie; Northern New South Wales, Grafton	2,000	1,000	6
11-18.2.2008	Flood	Queensland, Mackay, Rockhampton, Mareeba, Townsville, Bowen, Burdekin, Burnett, Charters Towers, Dalrymple, Mirani, Miriam Vale, Nebo, Peak Downs, Thuringowa, Whitsunday Shire	1,400	1,000	2
21-29.5.1983	Flood	New South Wales, Queensland	1,000		1

NatCatSERVICE

Tropical cyclone events in Australia 1980 – 2018 Overall and insured losses





© 2019 Münchener Rückversicherungs-Gesellschaft, NatCatSERVICE – As at March 2019

Tropical cyclone events in Australia 1980 – 2018



Costliest events ordered by overall losses

Date	Event	Affected area	Overall losses in US\$ m (in 2018 values)	Insured losses in US\$ m (in 2018 values)	Fatalities
27.3-6.4.2017	Cyclone Debbie, flood	Queensland, Proserpine, Bowen, Whitsunday Islands, Mackay, Airlie Beach, Sarina; New South Wales, Tweed, Lismore, Byron	2,700	1,400	12
2-7.2.2011	Cyclone Yasi	Queensland, Tully, Townsville, Mission Beach, Cardwell, Giru, Ingham, Innisfail, Cassowary Coast Shire, Cairns, Bedarra and Dunk islands	2,300	1,200	1
20.3.2006	Cyclone Larry	Queensland, Innisfail, Kurramine Beach, Mission Beach, Babinda, Cairns, Townsville, Mareeba, Atherton, Eacham, Cardwell	1,700	590	
18-21.2.2015	Cyclone Marcia	Queensland, Yeppoon, Rockhampton, Brisbane, Shoalwater Bay, Cairns	890	450	1
23.12.1990 - 22.1.1991	Cyclone Joy	Queensland, Cairns, Port Douglas, Innisfail, Mackay	440	95	6

Natural catastrophes insurance gap

prepared?

1.11 111 1111

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events - even the unexpected ones.

The NatCat Insurance Gap by income group: still a serious issue not only in low-income countries



Insurance gap in high- (left) and low-income* (right) countries 1980-2017 based on MR NatCatSERVICE



Since 1980 the insurance gap (uninsured losses as a share of overall losses) has significantly decreased in high-income countries (below 60%), while in low-income countries it is still >95%.

The NatCat Insurance Gap for Australia: uninsured losses as a percentage of overall losses in Australia 1980 – 2017

Insurance gap decreasing esp. since the beginning of the 21st century





Climate science:

How does climate change influence weather conditions in Australia?

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. WII your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events - even the unexpected ones.



Drivers for globally increasing losses from natural hazards



Global increase in population	From 4 billion (1975) to 7.6 billion (2018)	Not necessarily problematic for	
Improved standard of living	Middle class is growing rapidly worldwide	insurers (premiums grow proportionally	
Concentration of people / assets in urban areas	Share of urban population is increasing continually: 37% (1975) - 50% (2010) - 57% (2025)	with risk)	
Settlement and industrialization of vulnerable areas	Especially coastal areas, areas close to rivers	Problematic for insurers, if risk models are not adjusted	
Increase of complexity and interdependencies	Increasing complexity of value chains (i.e. production cycles) in industrial facilities		
Climate Change	Intensification and accumulation of extreme weather events in certain areas	accordingly	

Annual deviation of global mean temperature from 1880-1900 average (proxy for preindustrial era)





Increase of mean sea level rise is increasing globally and is expected to further increase over the next centuries



Changes in average surface temperature and precipitation 1986-2005 to 2081-2100





(b)

Change in average precipitation (1986-2005 to 2081-2100)



- Global surface temperature change for the end of the 21st century is *likely* to exceed 1.5°C relative to 1850-1900 for all RCP scenarios except RCP2.6.
- The Arctic region will warm more rapidly than the global.
- The contrast in precipitation between wet and dry regions and between wet and dry seasons will increase.
- Over most of the mid-latitude land masses and wet tropical regions extreme precipitation events will become more intense and more frequent; monsoon precipitation is likely to intensify.

Climate projections and associated risks ... the reason for the 2°C target



Tipping elements in dependence on levels of increases of global mean temperature



Historic* and projected** global mean temperature (lines)

and

threshold ranges for crossing tipping points where major subsystems of the climate system are destabilized (columns)

* shading shows one standard deviation
**different global warming scenarios according to IPCC 2014

Meeting the Paris 1.5°-2° target is vital for our climate sustained and extended large new emissions cuts every year necessary





Source: CRO-Forum "The Heat is on" (2019)

Meeting the Paris 1.5°-2° target is vital for our climate Physical impacts of global warming until the end of this century



Warming by 2100		<2 °C		3 °C	5 °C
Physical impacts		1.5 °C	2 °C		
	Sea-Level Rise (cm)	0.3-0.6 m	0.4 - 0.8 m	0.4-0.9 m	0.5-1.7 m
	Coastal assets to defend (\$tn)	\$10.2tn	\$11.7tn	\$14.6tn	\$27.5tn
	Chance of ice-free Arctic summer	1 in 30	1 in 6	4 in 6 (63%)	6 in 6 (100%)
Ô	Tropical cyclones: Fewer (#cat 1-5) Stronger (# cat 4-5) Wetter (total rain)	-1% +24%* +6%	-6% +16% +12%	-16% +28% +18%	Unknown +55% +35%
$\langle , , , \rangle$	Frequency of extreme rainfall	+17%	+36%	+70%	+150%
Ê	Increase in wildfire extent	x1.4	x1.6	x2.0	x2.6
***	People facing extreme heatwaves	x22	x27	x80	x300
${\not\sim}$	Land area hospitable to malaria	+12%	+18%	+29%	+46%

Source: CRO-Forum "The Heat is on" (2019)

Meeting the Paris 1.5°-2° target is vital for our climate Economic impacts of global warming until the end of this century



		<2 °C		3 °C	5 °C
Economic impacts		1.5 °C	2 °C		
\$ <u>_</u>	Global GDP impact (2018: \$80tn)	-10%	-13%	-23%	-45%
۶. ۲	Stranded assets	Transition: fossil fuel assets (supply, power, transport, industry)		Mixed: some fossil fuel assets mothballed, some physical stranding	Physical: uninhabitable zones, agriculture, water- intense industry, lost tourism etc
	Food supply	Changing diets, some yield loss in tropics		24% yield loss	60% yield loss, 60% demand increase
- Andrew Contraction of the cont	Insurance opportunities	and infra	arbon assets Istructure t (e.g. CCS)	Increasing demand to manage growing risks	Minimal: recession, tensions, high and unpredictable risks

Trends in hot weather in Australia





The trend in annual number of days >35°C. An increase of 0.2 days/year since 1957 means, on average, that there are almost 12 more days per year over 35°C.

Source: CSIRO amd BoM: State of the Climate 2016

A hot future ahead ...





Projected temperature change by 2050 relative to 1986–2005 (RCP8.5)

Projected change in person-days above 40°C relative to 1986–2005 (SRES A1FI), including projected population growth.

Rainfall deciles for the growing season (Apr-Oct) in Australia (1996-2015)





Growing season (April–October) rainfall deciles for the last 20 years (1996–2015). A decile map shows where rainfall is above average, average or below average for the recent period, in comparison with the entire rainfall record from 1900.

Trends in fire weather (FFDI) in Australia



Munich RE

Trends from 1974 to 2015 in annual 90th percentile of daily FFDI at 38 climate reference locations. Trends are in FFDI points per decade and larger circles represent larger trends. Filled circles represent statistically significant trends. Trends are upward (in red), except for Brisbane airport (in blue). Figure is updated to 2015 from Clarke et al. (2013).
El Niño/La Niña - Precipitation impacts on Australia



El Niño precipitation impacts



Dryness and increased warmth in E Australia

La Niña precipitation impacts



Wet and reduced warmth in N and E Australia

Tropical Cyclones over Australia: Location of maximum Cyclone intensity is moving southward over time



Future areas affected by tropical cyclones in Australia



Distance Southward from Equator



Munich Re's Australia & New Zealand Publication and Web Portal http://www.munichre.com/australia/homepage/index.html





the rise. Will Your business withstand even increasing perils? Rely on the financialistrength of Munich Re and our Secen UseRa a Storag partner to safely and/he large nat cat events even the unexpected

metropolitan areas and their value concentration are on

Burley Dr. Spectithe respect

onee.

2. Climate risk measurement:

policy, financial disclosure, measurement tools

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events even the unexpected ones.



Climate and renewable energy policy

Convective storms, Hailstorms

prepared?

Storm surges Floods

East Coast Lowe

Volcanoes

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events - even the unexpected ones.

UN Climate Change Conference COP21 Paris (12/2015) Outcome and assessment



- 195 UN nations agreed on "**Decisions**" (non-binding) and on the "**Agreement**" (binding)
- The Agreement entered into force on 4 November 2016 when at least 55 Parties to the Convention accounting in total for at least an estimated 55% of the total global greenhouse gas emissions have deposited their instruments of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.

Decisions of the Paris Agreement:

- 2°C target: Recognition of all parties to limit the increase in global mean temperature to well below 2°C, targeting even a temperature limit of 1.5°C (but weak formulations in crucial articles to achieve target)
- National climate goals: All parties are obliged to undertake and maintain plans for their reduction targets (without sanctioning)
- Climate finance: industrial countries to deliver USD100bn a year starting 2020, plus voluntary amendments of developing countries
- **Climate risk insurance**: climate-related losses and damages are acknowledged as a third climate strategy pillar next to adaptation and mitigation. A clearinghouse for risk transfer will be established serving as a repository.

UN climate agenda – timeline and deliverables to reach net-zero emissions by 2050





© 2019 Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP)



Measure	Target (relative to 2005 level)	Time horizon
Greenhouse gas emission reduction	-26 to -28 %	By 2030
Renewable energy generation share	+ 23 %	By 2020
Improve energy productivity (GDP / PetaJ)	+ 40 %	By 2030
Australia's renewable energy capacity	Doubling	By 2020

- **23 governmental programs** supporting action on climate change (including "emissions reduction fund", "renewable energy target
- 7 governmental policies and 7 governmental tools issued



Measure	Target (relative to 2005 level)	Time horizon
Greenhouse gas emission reduction	- 30 %	By 2030
Renewable electricity generation target	100% (today 82 %)	By 2035



- 82 % renewable electricity generation in New Zealand (3rd highest of all OECD countries)
- biggest contribution from hydro (59%)
- **39.6** % of primary energy supply from renewable sources in New Zealand

Renewable

Non-renewable

Climate and renewable energy targets in Germany and the European Union



		Gerr	many			Europea	n Union	
Target	2020	2030	2050	As at 2017	2020	2030	2050	As at 2017
Greenhouse gas emission reduction								
GHG emission compared to 1990	At least -40%	At least -55%	At least -80 till -95%	-27,70%	-20%	-40%	-80 till -95%	-24%
Renewable energy share in	n final energ	gy consum	ption					
Renewable energy share of gross final energy consumption	18%	30%	60%	15,60%	20%	27%		17%

Source: Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz, Bau und Reaktorsicherheit; BMWi, UBA

Climate-related financial disclosures

prepared?

1.11 111 1111 metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils? Rely on the financial strength

of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events - even the unexpected ones.

of hazards are rising while



Mark Carney, Governor of the Bank of England and Chairman of the G20's Financial Stability Board, highlights in his 2015 speech three channels through which climate change can affect financial stability:

- 1. **Physical risks**: the impacts today on insurance liabilities and the value of financial assets that arise from climate- and weather-related events, such as floods and storms that damage property or disrupt trade;
- 2. Liability risks: the impacts that could arise tomorrow if parties who have suffered loss or damage from the effects of climate change seek compensation from those they hold responsible. Such claims could come decades in the future, but have the potential to hit carbon extractors and emitters and, if they have liability cover, their insurers the hardest;
- **3. Transition risks**: the financial risks which could result from the process of adjustment towards a lowercarbon economy. Changes in policy, technology and physical risks could prompt a reassessment of the value of a large range of assets as costs and opportunities become apparent.

Financial Stability Board (FSB) and the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)



- The TCFD was commissioned by the Financial Stability Board in December 2015. The objective is to address the impact climate change is having on companies and the global financial system.
- TCFD aimed to develop recommendations for voluntary climate-related financial disclosures that are consistent, comparable, reliable, clear and efficient which provide decision-useful information to lenders, insurers and investors.
- In June 2017 the Task Force released its final recommendations report
- The voluntary recommendations are designed to help companies identify and disclose the potential financial impacts of climate-related risks and opportunities on their businesses.
- TCFD's 32 members were chosen by the FSB to include both users and preparers of disclosures from across the G20's constituency covering a broad range of economic sectors and financial markets.
- 2018 Status Report: 500+ supporters with a combined market capitalization of US\$ 7,900 bn including financial firms responsible for almost US\$ 100,000bn of assets



2015	2016	24	017		Now
December 2015 Establishment of TCFD	ablishment	December 2016 TCFD release recommendations and 60-day public consultati period begins	on	July 2017 Issuance of final report to FSB and presentation to G20	2018
	Board (FSB) announced membership of TCFD		June 2017 Final recomm published	nendations	Gradual implementation in more and more countries and more and more companies

Task Force on climate-related financial disclosure (TCFD) Consequence from Paris Agreement to ensure a Level-Playing Field



Risk landscape in focus of TCFD

Core elements of recommended climaterelated financial disclosures



Governance

The organization's governance around climate-related risks and opportunities

Strategy

The actual and potential impacts of climaterelated risks and opportunities on the organization's businesses, strategy, financial planning

Risk Management

The process used by the organization to identify, assess, manage climate-related risks

Metrics and Targets

The metrics and targets used to assess and manage relevant climate-related risks and opportunities

Munich Re's activities with the UNEP FI TCFD Working group



Rapid-onset physical risks:

It is asked whether the insurance industry needs guidance in modelling, in a standardized, portfoliospecific way future business impacts based on historical data, third-party climate scenarios

- Slow-onset physical risks: do those risks need to be included in scope of the project?
- Transition risks:

insurance companies should focus on "*transition risks resulting from a reduction in insurable interest due to a decline in value, changing energy costs, or implementation of carbon regulation.*" (also: reputational risks, stranded assets, ... ; but have differing nature to physical risks)

• Liability risks:

liability insurance = long-tail business and scarce (historical) data base makes situation very challenging; claims could take years

Climate Intelligence: Munich Re's measurement tools of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events - even the unexpected ones.



Munich Re's risk evaluation services based on digital intelligence





Physical Risk Assessment





Risk Score Rating

- Earthquake Risk Score: Includes the Earthquake, Volcano and Tsunami Risk
- Storm Risk Score: Includes the Tropical cyclone, Extratropical storm, Hail, Tornado and Lightning Risk
- Flood Risk Score: Includes River flood, Flash Flood and Storm Surge Risk

Munich Re's digital location intelligence: NATHAN assessment of NatCat risks in the underwriting process



Location Information

Hazard Score Rating

Risk Score Rating

Algiers, Alge	eria	
Hazard Score Rating		^
	none low	high
Earthquake		
Volcanoes		
Tsunami		
Tropical cyclone		
Extratropical storm		
Hail		
Tornado		
Lightning		
Wildfire		
River flood		
Flash flood		
Storm surge		
Risk Score Rating		^
Overall Risk Score	low	extreme



Munich Re's digital location intelligence: NATHAN





3. Transitional risk transfer solutions:

green tech investment, renewables risk transfer, mining rehabilitation risk transfer of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events even the unexpected ones.



Green tech investment

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events - even the unexpected ones.



How could a complete decarbonisation be achieved?





Four phases of energy transition

1. Basis technologies

→ Deployment of renewables
→ Energy efficiency

2. System integration

 \rightarrow Flexibilisation, digitalization

 \rightarrow Direct use of electricity, storage

 \rightarrow New electricity markets

 \rightarrow Incorporating also traffic, industry and heat

3. Synthetic fuels

 \rightarrow upscaled electrolysis

 \rightarrow hydrogen as potential accelerator for this phase

4. Final decarbonisation

ightarrow displacement of all fossil fuels

→ Renewables imports

Synthetic fuels on the basis of renewables are the next big challenge for the energy transformation

Source: Munich Re

Energy generation by source in Australia



	2016–17		Average an	nual growth	•
	GWh	share	2016–17	10 years	
		(per cent)	(per cent)	(per cent)	•
Fossil fuels	217,562	84.3	-0.8	-0.3	
Black coal	118,272	45.8	3.5	-1.0	
Brown coal	43,558	16.9	-10.7	-2.5	
Gas	50,460	19.6	-0.2	4.2	۰
Oil	5,273	2.0	-6.8	3.0	
Renewables	40,455	15.7	6.1	8.2	۰
Hydro	16,285	6.3	6.3	3.4	
Wind	12,597	4.9	3.3	16.9	
Bioenergy	3,501	1.4	-7.6	-3.0	
- bagasse	1,435	0.6	-20.7	na	
- wood, woodwaste	355	0.1	42.7	na	(0
- municipal, industrial waste	76	0.0	76.9	na	Giaawatt hours
- sulphite lyes, biofuels	442	0.2	6.2	na	att F
- landfill biogas	970	0.4	-8.6	na	Man
- sludge biogas	223	0.1	5.6	na	U
Solar PV	8,072	3.1	18.0	59.2	
- small scale	7,399	2.9	16.0	57.7	
- large scale	672	0.3	47.1	na	
Geothermal	1	0.0	133.3	na	
Total	258,017	100	0.2	0.7	

15.2 % renewable energy generation in Australia

Share of renewables increased by **8.2 %** in the past 10 years

highest growth rates in solar PV

biggest contribution from hydro



Source: Department of the Environment and Energy (2018) Australian Energy Statistics

Cost-Tipping points for renewable energy power generation renewables will become cheaper than existing coal in most regions before 2030



¹ Power generation from existing coal and gas power plants in 2018, as share of total

- By 2030, new-build renewables will outcompete existing fossil fuel generation on energy cost in most countries
- The majority of countries will reach this tipping point in the next ~5 years
- Australian tipping point: today

A tipping point represents a year when new renewables (solar PV, onshore wind, or both) become cheaper than existing fossil fuel plants



Investment in renewable energies in Australia



- There were sharp increases in renewable investment in Australia, 147% up compared to 2016 to \$8.5 bn in 2017.
- Solar advanced 189% to \$4.9 billion, and wind 109% to \$3.6 billion.
- The largest projects include the Goldwind wind project (530 MW) with ~\$822 mio and an PV portfolio (270 MW) with ~500 mio.
- Australia accounted for the 4th country of Small Distributed Capacity investment (<1MW) of \$1.5 bn, a 18% increase compared to 2016.
- 2016: 17% of electricity was supplied by renewable generation (10% non-hydro)
- South Australia aims for 50% renewables in 2025
- 4/7 Australian states committed to reach net-zero carbon emissions by 2050

Renewables risk transfer

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. WII your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events even the unexpected ones.



Munich Re's Green Tech Solutions



Established Renewables



Solar	Wind
Energy	Energy
Hydro	Inverter

Smart Energy





Disruptive Technologies



Bio Energy/ Waste to Energy	Fuel Cells	Decont- amination
Water Treatment	Efficient Resource Extraction	

Business enabling examples Wind Energy Yield Cover



Project Description

Wind speeds can fall far short in time and area in comparison to the most conscientious forecasts

Resulting turnover losses could severely affect the owner's balance sheet and financing obligations

Achievements



The Lack-of-Wind cover is a solution that protects the revenue stream against poor wind years



If power generation of the windfarm falls under a predefined threshold, the cover cushions the loss impact



- Value Proposition

Strong business enabling partner for owners and investors

Revenue protection against low wind speed years



Business enabling examples Energy Storage System (ESS) Performance Warranty Cover



Long-term performance cover for Battery Cells & Packs / Stationary ESS / E-Mobility Applications

Achievements

Indemnification of excessive claims

- One time premium based on insured year's revenue
- Non-cancellable for insurer



X

Increased predictability for financial reserving

Boost of growth-relevant cash reserves for R&D / marketing



Balance Sheet protection

Source: Munich Re / Green Tech Solutions

Capacity over time (72 months)



Value Proposition

Cover for revenues of production

Long-term security for manufacturer during multi-year liability period

Mining rehabilitation risk transfer

prepared?

Convective storms, Hailstorms

Storm surger Floods

East Coast Lowe

Volcances

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events - even the unexpected ones.

Mining Rehabilitation Cost Cap



Consortium Management:

- Specialists in building and managing consortium to acquire and rehabilitate end-of-life mine sites.
- Ensures that the community is not left with the burden of funding mine rehabilitation.
- Mine will be converted into safe green spaces or land for new industry.



Munich Re:

- Issues a bond to the regulator
- Takes the risk of mine rehabilitation cost overrun.

4. Physical risk transfer:

Nat Cat schemes, cyclone, drought, resilience bonds, pandemic emergency financing

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events even the unexpected ones.



Natural catastrophe insurances



examples of sovereign and public-private Nat Cat risk transfer schemes



Natural catastrophe insurances best practices for ex ante disaster risk insurance schemes



	Turkish Catastrophe Insurance Pool (TCIP)	National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), United States	Fondo Nacional de Desastres Naturales (FONDEN), Mexico
		Affordable flood incurance for	Provide immediate liquidity to public entities after a major NatCat event.
	Provide homeowners with reconstruction financing after EQs.	Affordable flood insurance for home and business owners.	Shift from ex-post disaster relief to ex- ante disaster financing and prevention scheme.
Solution	World Bank funded TCIP until 2006, since then it is reinsured by Munich Re. Insurance companies act as agents and sell the earthquake cover to private homeowners and cede risk to gov. pool.	Encouraging communities to adopt and enforce floodplain management regulations.	Munich Re reinsures FONDEN (sum insured U.S.\$245 bn.). After a NatCat event, funds are transferred to a trust fund and distributed to national and state governments.
Stakeholder Benefit	Reduction of contingent liability for Turkish government.	Reinsurance treaty contributes to NFIP with risk expertise and more insurance coverage options, thus promotes flood protection, and reinforces basis for improved risk management.	Broad coverage of public assets and good governance in the management of post-disaster claims.

Tropical Cyclone Oma: February 2019





Parametric cyclone cover: Brisbane trigger



ocation			Ter	m —				Template
Latitude	Longite	ude		From	1	То		Load Template from file with:
-27.3	153.11		C	DD/MM/YYYY DD/MM/YYYY		YYY	1 to 4 Radii & 1 to 5 Windspeeds	
	red Input Information Decimal degrees			Stick to the given format and confirm "From Date" with enter! Default term is set to 1 year.			Upload	
igger Definitio	n							
Catalog		SouthPac	ific_East_	stoch.ma	t 🔻	•		
Detations have		0.40			<u> </u>			
Pricing base	a on:	🧿 10-mi	in-sustai	ined	🕛 1-mi	n-sustaiı	ned	
Start Year		No. of C	ircles	Ra	dii (km)	No.	of WS	WS (>= mph) 10 min sustained
1								
		2			100		4	55.3
End Year		2			100 200		4	73.3
		2					4	73.3 99.4
End Year		2					4	73.3
100000		2					4	73.3 99.4
100000	neters	2					4	73.3 99.4
100000		2 Curren	ncy		200	sts Facto		73.3 99.4
100000 dditional Paran			-		200			73.3 99.4 124.2
100000 dditional Paran Limit of Inder		Curre	-		200 ernal Cos			73.3 99.4 124.2
100000 dditional Paran Limit of Inder 10000000 10.000.000	mnity	Curre	-		200 ernal Cos			73.3 99.4 124.2
100000 dditional Paran Limit of Inder 10000000	mnity	Curre	-		200 ernal Cos			73.3 99.4 124.2
dditional Param Limit of Inder 1000000 10,000,000 et Pay-Out Patt	mnity tern (%) -	Curre	-	Inte	200 ernal Cos)		73.3 99.4 124.2
100000 dditional Paran Limit of Inden 10000000 10.000.000	mnity tern (%) W	Curren		Inte	ernal Cos)	r Exte	73.3 99.4 124.2



- 2 Circles (100, 200 km)
- Centred at Brisbane CBD
- 4 Wind Speeds (Cat2, Cat3, Cat4 and Cat5)
- Indicative premium of ~X.XX%



Parametric cover- a quick intro if you don't know it already...

- Parametric cover refers to a parameter (or index) used to define a particular 'trigger' event at a particular location (for example a 1 in 20 year drought experience in Queensland)
- Parametric cover can be provided in a form of a derivative, insurance or a bond. The bond form of a
 parametric cover is known as Index Linked Securities (ILS).
- Index Linked Securities refer to an index (or parameter) to define a 'trigger' event at a particular location
- An 'insurable interest' or proof of loss is <u>not required</u> for parametric cover it could be consider as a 'bet' on the trigger event occurring
- Once triggered, a parametric cover payout is made within days/weeks of the event
- Basis risk between the parametric cover and the underlying risk being hedged needs to be considered
- A clearly defined (and well researched) trigger description will decrease the basis risk
- The public ILS market is large (USD30bn+ outstanding) with additional private deals as well
- ILS investors are interested in Australian risks to diversify their global portfolios





Combined Resilience and Risk Transfer Solution



Combining traditional disaster risk insurance with proactive resilience-building measures

Client motivation

- · Strengthening resilience in coastal areas towards tropical induced storm surge
- The solution helps the client to overcome the tradeoff between investing into resilience measures and purchasing a risk transfer solution
- Making double use of available funds deemed to increase resilience in coastal areas

Solution proposal

- A resilient investment for habitat restoration (e.g. coral reefs) at the beginning of the insurance treaty term reduces the underlying risk (as reefs act as highly effective natural wave breakers)
- 2. The risk-mitigating impact is quantified and confirmed, leading to monetization via reduced premiums per a pre-identified formula
- 3. An incentive is created for risk-reducing infrastructure as well as for risk transfer, resulting in increased community resilience



Pandemic Emergency Financing Facility (PEF)



Client motivation

The World Bank (IBRD) has issued pandemic bonds and entered into derivative transactions for a total volume of \$425m to support the funding of the PEF via its CAR Note program. PEF is a Financial Intermediary Fund (FIF) of the WB providing surge funding for containment of certain pandemic disease outbreaks in developing countries.

Solution

International Bank for Reconstruction and Development		
Class A: USD 225 million, Class B: USD 95 million Class A: USD 50 million, Class B: USD 55 million		
Class A: Influenza, Coronavirus Class B: Coronavirus, Filovirus, Lassa Fever, Rift Valley Fever, Crimean Congo Hemorrhagic Fever		
Influenza: 196 countries (worldwide); Other: 144 countries		
3 years (possibility of 1 year extension)		
Parametric, per occurrence		
AIR		
World Health Organization		

5. Next step discussions

of hazards are rising while metropolitan areas and their value concentrations are also growing. Will your business withstand the ever-increasing perils?

Rely on the financial strength of Munich Re and our expertise as a strong partner to safely withstand large nat cat events even the unexpected ones.



Next step discussions...



Intentionally left blank!



© 2019 Münchener Rückversicherungs-Gesellschaft Aktiengesellschaft in München ("Munich Re"). All rights reserved.

The content of this presentation (including, without limitation, text, pictures, graphics, as well as the arrangement thereof) is protected under copyright law and other protective legislation. These materials or any portions thereof may be used solely for personal and non-commercial purposes. Any other use requires Munich Re's prior written approval.

Munich Re has used its discretion, best judgement and every reasonable effort in compiling the information and components contained in this presentation. It may not be held liable, however, for the completeness, correctness, topicality and technical accuracy of any information contained herein. Munich Re assumes no liability with regard to updating the information or other content provided in this presentation or to adapting this to conform with future events or developments.



Thank You!

Ernst Rauch Contact: <u>erauch@munichre.com</u> Website: <u>www.munichre.com</u> Chris Gottardo Senior Client Manager

Tel : +612 9272 2121 cgottardo@MunichRe.com



